

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 gains 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,773	10/03/2005	Salvador Tirado Abullon		4725
92292998 Salvador Tirado Abullon Victor De La Serna 3 5 Parking			EXAMINER	
			DOUGLAS, STEVEN O	
Madrid, 28016 SPAIN	)		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/551,773 ABULLON, SALVADOR TIRADO Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit /Steven O. Douglas/ 3771 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 October 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/551,773 Page 2

Art Unit: 3771

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the Search Report is not considered to be an information disclosure statement (IDS) complying with 37 CFR 1.98, 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2) requires a legible copy of: (1) each foreign patent; (2) each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; (3) for each cited pending U.S. application, the application specification including claims, and any drawing of the application, or that portion of the application which caused it to be listed including any claims directed to that portion, unless the cited pending U.S. application is stored in the Image File Wrapper (IFW) system; and (4) all other information, or that portion which caused it to be listed. In addition, each IDS must include a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office (see 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1) and (b)), and MPEP § 609.04(a), subsection I. states, "the list ... must be submitted on a separate paper." Therefore, the references cited in the Search Report have not been considered. Applicant is advised that the date of submission of any item of information or any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the IDS, including all "statement" requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In regard to claim 1, "cach with and end area" (lines 4 and 5) is indefinite. Also in regard to claim 1, clear and proper antecedent basis for said "cylinders" (line 6) should be deifned.

In regard to claim 3, it is indefinite as to the structure defined by "said threaded terminals have a variable height depending on the inhaler model" (lines 2 and 3).

In regard to claim 4, "is external one located..." (line 3) and "one intermediate one" (line 4) are indefinite. Also in regard to claim 4, clear and proper antecedent basis for the "area" (line 4), the "threaded area" (line 5) and the intermediate ring" (line 9) should be defined.

In regard to claim 5, clear and proper antecedent basis for the "section" (line 2) should be defined

In regard to claim 6, clear and proper antecedent basis for the "planes" (line 2) should be defined

In regard to claim 7, clear and proper antecedent basis for the "interior wall" (line 2) should be defined.

In regard to claim 8, clear and proper antecedent basis for the "standard version" (line 2 and 3) and said "external ring" (line 4) should be defined.

In regard to claim 10, clear and proper antecedent basis for the "threaded area" (line 2) should be defined. Also in regard to claim 10, Applicant's use of alternate language (i.e. "or") renders the claim indefinite and should be avoided.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,773 Page 4

Art Unit: 3771

Applicant is respectfully requested to review all of the claims for other occurrences similar to those addressed above and amend the claims accordingly.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(\*\*\*) as being \*\*\* by \*\*\*.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Korman in view of Hoffman.

The Korman reference discloses a nasal inhaler comprising two cylindrical tubes 11 (i.e. it is acknowledged that the tubes aren't perfect cylinders but they do general take the form of cylinders), a linking bridge 29 and two cylindrical terminals 20 (i.e. see acknowledgement above), wherein the terminals are externally threaded and the cylinders are internally threaded. The Korman reference fails to disclose the length of the threads as being less than 5 mm and the terminals having internal threads and the cylinders having external threads. Firstly, the Hoffman

Art Unit: 3771

reference discloses another inhaler cylinder having a terminal 23 and a cylinder 11 with respective internal and external threads. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute an internal/external thread interface as, for example, shown by Hoffman for the external/internal interface of Korman, wherein so doing would amount to the mere substitution of one type of threaded interface for another that would work equally as well. Secondly, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the length of the threads be less than 5 mm (if not already), since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPO 233.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Freezer, Crespo and Childers references pertain to other inhalers with plural tubes.

Claims 2,4,5,6 and 8-10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3771

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Steven O. Douglas/ whose telephone number is (571) 272-4885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30-5:00.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Steven O. Douglas/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3771

SD 2/14/08